## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/635,362	08/05/2003	Rob Falke	40055-0001	8884		
-0.00	20480 7590 02/02/2007 STEVEN L. NICHOLS			EXAMINER		
RADER, FISHMAN & GRAVER PLLC			WILKENS, JANET MARIE			
10653 S. RIVE SUITE 150	R FRONT PARKWAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SOUTH JORD	AN, UT 84095		3637.			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
		•	02/02/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	•	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10 635 362				
			EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER
	•			20070131

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner for Patents** 

The reply brief filed December 19, 2006 has been received, entered, and noted. This application will now be sent up to the Board of Appeals for review.

40055-0001

10/636,362 **CENTRAL FAX CENTER** DEC 1 9 2006

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the Patent Application of:

Rob Falke

Application No. 10/635,362

Filed: August 5, 2003

For: Method and Apparatus for Storing and

Preserving Writings and Memoranda

Group Art Unit: 3637

Examiner: WILKENS, Janet Marie

## REPLY BRIEF

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is a Reply Brief under Rule 41.41 (37 C.F.R) in response to the Examiner's Answer of October 19, 2006 (the "Examiner's Answer" or the "Answer"). In Section 10, the Answer contains various response to the arguments made in Appellant's brief. Appellant now responds to those arguments as follows.